VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF FAIRFAX Civil Division

MOUNT PLEASANT BAPTIST CHURCH

BOARD OF TRUSTEES et. al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No.:CL-2023-0016807

DR. KEVIN E. DONALSON

Defendant.

ORDER

THIS MATTER CAME BEFORE THE COURT on the Amended Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed by Plaintiffs MOUNT PLEASANT BAPTIST CHURCH BOARD OF TRUSTEES, MICHAEL DAVIS, EMILY KITCHEN, CHERYL TILLMAN, ROBERT BULLET, and LOUIS WERTS (collectively "the Trustees"), by counsel, against Defendant DR. KEVIN E. DONALSON.

UPON CONSIDERATION of the briefs and argument of Counsel as presented in the hearing before this Court on January 30, 2024, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Amended Motion for Preliminary Injunction is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part for the reasons stated in open court and attached here to as Exhibit A; and it is further

ORDERED that until further order from the Court, DR. KEVIN E. DONALSON is hereby enjoined from:

 Expending or directing others to expend any moneys not expressly allocated in the Mount Pleasant Baptist Church budget, as ratified in the Church's annual business meeting, or as such budget is amended in a congregational meeting properly held pursuant to the Church's Bylaws.

2) Taking any actions to remove any individual Trustees except that Dr. Donalson, in his role as Senior Pastor, may recommend said removal (in conjunction with the Trustees) pursuant to the process articulated under Section 3.2(F) of the Church's ByLaws.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Trustees' remaining requests for injunctive relief are hereby denied for the reasons stated by the Court in its decision rendered on January 31, 2024, which opinion is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A

IT IS SO ORDERI	ED	
THIS CAUSE IS (CONTINUED	
ENTERED this	of	, 2024

Hon. Richard E. Gardiner Judge, Fairfax County Circuit Court

WE ASK FOR THIS:

J. Chapman Petersen, Esq., VSB #37225 Federico J. Zablah, Esq., VSB#96031

CHAP PETERSEN & ASSOCIATES, PLC

3970 Chain Bridge Road

Fairfax, Virginia 22030 Telephone: (571) 459-2512 Facsimile: (571) 459-2307 Email: jcp@petersenfirm.com Email: fjz@petersenfirm.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

SEEN AND

H. Robert Showers, Esq. (VSB# 34799)

Melissa L. Ruby, Esq. (VSB# 97200)

SIMMS SHOWERS, LLP

305 Harrison Street SE, Third Floor

Leesburg, VA 20175

Phone(703)771-4671

Fax (703) 771-4681

hrs@simmsshowerslaw.com

mlruby@simmsshowerslaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant



CHAR SECCESSING

2024 FEB -8 PM 3: 18

MOSERIE CIRCINIO ANTICONIO TENENTE COUNTRE COUNTRE COUNTRE COUNTRE CONTRE CONTR

EXHIBIT A



Transcript of Hearing

Date: January 31, 2024

Case: Mount Pleasant Baptist Church Board, et al. -v- Donalson

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

1	VIRGINIA:
2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY
3	x
4	MOUNT PLEASANT BAPTIST CHURCH:
5	BOARD, et al., :
6	Plaintiffs, : Case No.
7	vs. : CL-2023-0016807
8	DONALSON, :
9	Defendant. :
10	x
11	
12	
13	HEARING
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD E. GARDINER
15	Fairfax, Virginia
16	Wednesday, January 31, 2024
17	9:30 AM
18	
19	
20	Job No.: 524233
21	Pages: 1 - 21
22	Recorded By: Ashley Meredith

1	Hearing, held at the location of:
2	
3	
4	
5	FAIRFAX COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT
6	4110 Chain Bridge Road
7	Fairfax, Virginia 22030
8	
9	
10	
11	Pursuant to agreement, before
12	Ashley Meredith, Court Reporter.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:
4	J. CHAPMAN PETERSEN, ESQUIRE
5	FEDERICO J. ZABLAH, ESQUIRE
6	CHAP PETERSEN & ASSOCIATES, PLC
7	3970 Chain Bridge Road
8	Fairfax, VA 22030
9	571.459.2512
10	•
11	
12	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
13	H. ROBERT SHOWERS, ESQUIRE
14	MELISSA RUBY, ESQUIRE
15	SIMMS SHOWERS LLP
16	305 Harrison Street SE, Third Floor
17	Leesburg, VA 20175
18	703.771.4671
19	
20	
20 21	
	•

1	CONTENTS	
2	DECISION	PAGE
3	By the Court - Demurrer	8
4	By the Court - Preliminary Injunctions	17
5		
6		
7	EXHIBITS	
8	(None marked.)	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Whereupon, the court reporter was duly
3	sworn.)
4	THE COURT: All right. Good morning.
5	We're here on Mount Pleasant Baptist Church of
6	Board of Trustees et al. v. Kevin E. Donalson
7	CL2023-16807. And this is here. I put this on
8	for this morning to give you my decision with
9	regard to the demurrer that was filed by
10	Defendants by Defendant and also on the
11	plaintiff's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction.
12	Let me start with the demurrer.
13	I'm going to sustain the demurrer in part and
14	overrule it in part. With regard to the part that
15	I'm going to sustain, that is to the case being
16	brought by the board of trustees as a as an
17	entity. I don't believe there is such a an
18	entity that can appropriately bring this case.
19	The trustees can only bring litigation
20	individually. And in this case, they've done
21	that, as well as Mr. Peterson explained yesterday,
22	he brought both in the by the board and by the

1	individual trustees to so to the extent that
2	this case is brought by the board of trustees, the
3	demurrer to the board is sustained.
4	With regard to the demurrer to
5	Count count I, the demurrer is overruled. The
6	Court I believe that as to Count I, which is
7	the claim for declaratory judgment that the
8	trustees, first of all, have standing to bring
9	this suit, seeking the declaratory judgment
10	concerning the expenditures by the defendant. I
11	don't believe, as I said yesterday, and I'll
12	repeat just so the record is complete, that this
13	matter concerns an ecclesiastical question. I
14	don't believe it does.
15	What it concerns is an
16	interpretation of the relevant statutes in Article
17	57, and of course, most importantly, an
18	interpretation of the church's bylaws. And I
19	don't see that as touching getting anywhere
20	near an ecclesiastical question. Indeed, as Mr.
21	Showers said yesterday, that the essentially,
22	the ecclesiastical questions have been reduced to

1	or put into the bylaws, the the bylaws
2	structure, the church, pursuant to its
3	ecclesiastical arrangements. And so by simply
4	interpreting those bylaws, it's my conclusion that
5	I am not addressing an ecclesiastical question.
6	I'm only addressing secular questions, that is the
7	construction of the bylaws.
8	And as I said, with regard to
9	Count I, the claim for declaratory judgment, I
10	I'm overruling the demurrer because I believe that
11	the contract excuse me, the Complaint sets out
12	sufficient facts showing that there is an ongoing
13	dispute between the trustees, given their
14	fiduciary obligations, and between with the
15	defendant and that there is dispute about what can
16	happen in the future. And so I think a
17	declaratory judgment is perfectly appropriate
18	here, given the allegations in the Complaint.
19	With regard to Count II, I also
20	overrule the demurrer. I believe that the Count
21	II is request for injunctive relief, given the
22	factual allegations in the Complaint does set

forth an appropriate cause of action. And
therefore, as I said, I'm overruling the demurrer
to Count II. I'll be -- I'm going to be asking
Mr. Peterson, please, to prepare an order
implementing my ruling with regard to the demurrer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Let me move on to the preliminary injunction request. First of all, the preliminary injunction, as I see it, is being sought pursuant to the Statutory Provision 8.01-628 and also -and which provides that no temporary injunction shall be awarded unless the Court shall be satisfied of the plaintiff's equity. And as the Virginia Supreme Court said recently in the Loudoun County School Board v. Cross case last -last fall, or last winter -- doesn't even have a citation yet in the Virginia Reporters, Although this Court has not definitively delineated the factors that guide granting the equitable relief of a temporary injunction, an injunction is an extraordinary remedy and rest on sound judicial discretion to be exercised upon consideration of the nature and circumstances of a particular case

1	and is meant to preserve the status quo between
2	the parties while the litigation is ongoing.
3	Based on that, that the Court has
4	not definitively delineated the factors, I don't
5	believe that the the factors that are set forth
6	in the United States Supreme Court case in winter,
7	setting forth the the four factors, that those
8	factors apply here. As I as I read, the
9	Supreme Court's decision, this decision about it
10	rest on the question of Plaintiff's equity and
11	that that's to be is that rest on sound
12	judicial discretion. And in my view, the with
13	some limits, the request for a preliminary
14	injunction is is going to be granted in part
15	and denied in part.
16	What was asked for yesterday at
17	the completion of the hearing was somewhat
18	different than what was requested in the the
19	papers that were filed, even in the Amended Motion
20	for a Preliminary Injunction. But I think the
21	Court, based on the evidence that I heard
22	yesterday, nonetheless, can issue a narrower

1 injunction than -- than was originally sought. 2 The things that were sought in the 3 Amended Motion for Preliminary Injunction, which was filed December 22nd of last year, one was to 4 5 enjoin the defendant for making, approving, or 6 authorize any expenditure by the church without 7 approval from the board of trustees. I think 8 after my review of the bylaws yesterday that --9 that -- that's something that is not supported by 10 I don't think that the bylaws require the bylaws. 11 the limit expenditures without approval by the 12 board of trustees. 13 In my view, after hearing the 14 evidence yesterday and -- and a review of the 15 bylaws again yesterday afternoon in chambers, it's -- I don't -- I -- I think that it's the -- the 16 17 defendant is limited by what is set in the budget 18 that is adopted by the congregation. And 19 therefore, the -- I am going to issue a 20 preliminary injunction because I believe 21 Plaintiff's -- the equity of the -- falls with the 22 plaintiffs on this point, that the defendant shall

not expend or direct others to expend any church funds as -- except as approved in the budget. As -- as came out yesterday, and I think it's supported by the bylaws, the budget is what is been approved by the congregation. And I think that it -- it -- the plaintiff's equity in this case should be to expressly limit the defendant from expending funds or directing others to expend church funds except as approved in the budget.

The evidence yesterday was that on at least one or two occasions, the defendant did expend funds that were not in the -- approved in the budget and subsequently that was approved by the -- by the congregation. But I think it's -- it -- it -- it's equitable to the plaintiffs that the -- that the congregation shouldn't have to be organized or -- or convened, I should say, every few months to -- to clean up what the defendant has done that he's not authorized to do. So I am issuing the -- the preliminary -- preliminary injunction on that basis with regard to church funds.

The second thing that was sought
was to enjoin the defendant from taking any
further personnel actions. I think in this case,
the plaintiffs, the trustees, don't have standing
to make a request with regard to personnel
actions. Under the bylaws, the plaintiffs, in
this case, the trustees, certainly have standing
with regard to assets of the corporation. That's
right in in the bylaws in Section 3.2G, and
I'll call it G1. I noticed also that I suspect
the reason why there's two Gs is that there's
actually no B.

But in any event, the G1 gives -clearly gives the trustees custodianship of the
church property and its assets. And in my view,
that would include the financial assets as well as
bank accounts and -- and any church funds. But I
don't see anything in the bylaws that gives the
board of trust -- or the trustees any authority
over personnel decisions. And so to the extent
that the preliminary injunction request is to
enjoin him from taking any further -- further

personnel actions, that request is denied.

The third request was to enjoin

the defendant from taking any actions to remove

the board of trustees or otherwise elect new

trustees. With regard to removing trustees, that

certainly is an issue that the trustees have

standing — in my view, have standing to bring

now, since they would obviously be directly

affected by any effort to remove the trustees.

But I'm not sure that there was any — if — that

I remember, any evidence yesterday suggesting that

the — that actually the defendant had tried to

remove a trustee.

I would note that -- that Section

3.2F says that the trustee shall be subject to
removal upon recommendation of the pastor and
trustees after 30 days' notice has been given in
writing and upon two-thirds vote of the members
present and voting at a regular or called business
meeting. So therefore, the pastor has a right to
recommend removal of trustees, but that's as far
as he can go. After that, it's up to the

1	remainder of the trustees and then the
2	congregation. So I'm going to issue a limited
3	preliminary injunction on that point, and that
4	will be the defendant shall not take any action to
5	remove a trustee except that he may recommend the
6	removal of of a trustee, but beyond
7	recommending it, he can take no further action.
8	And the third request excuse
9	me, the fourth request was to invalidate any
10	actions taken by Dr. Donalson at the church's
11	annual business meeting on December 27th. I heard
12	testimony concerning from two witnesses
13	yesterday concerning what happened at that meeting
14	and whether it was a valid meeting or not. And I
15	at this point, I I don't think it's the
16	in the interest of or this the plaintiff has
17	an equitable interest in my taking any action with
18	regard to that. That's an issue that can that
19	will have to be resolved at trial. I'm not going
20	to issue any preliminary injunction regarding the
21	annual business meeting, so that request is denied.
22	And lastly, with there was a a

1	request made orally, which was not in the Amended
2	Motion, but I'm going to address it. And that was
3	to prevent him prevent the defendant from
4	hiring or firing personnel. With regard to
5	hiring, I I I don't think that's
6	appropriate. Certainly, that's not appropriate to
7	issue a preliminary injunction. The he can
8	hire as long as he goes through whatever the
9	processes are. I'm not going to in any way put
10	limits on his hiring ability.
11	As far as his authority to fire
12	the director of administration, because that's
13	what was specifically addressed yesterday, I don't
14	believe that the trustees have standing to raise
15	that issue because that is not within their ambit
16	to make to personnel decisions. And the reason
17	that I conclude that, among other things, is that
18	the bylaws say that, as far as the director of
19	administration goes that I I'm looking at
20	the bylaws again, where it says employment and/or
21	this is Paragraph C under the Director of
22	Administration. Employment and/or service and

1 I would see this as service, require the mutual 2 consent of the individual and the church. 3 And in the Court's view. 4 interpreting the word Church with a capital C, in 5 -- in my view, is really essentially another way 6 of saying the entire congregation. And I say that 7 for this reason. Article 6 of the Constitution, 8 which was admitted in evidence, refers to the 9 church as the body of baptized believers and also 10 refers to the church as an quote, an autonomous 11 body operating through democratic processes. 12 in -- in my view, that is the -- it's essentially 13 speaking of the congregation, so that there has to 14 be mutual consent of the individual, in this case, 15 the director of administration and -- and the 16 congregation. 17 And it goes on to say, that is 18 Paragraph C, Either party has the right to --19 either party, and that I view that as the 20 individual in the one hand, and the church on the 21 other, has the right to terminate the service 22 relationship at any time with or without cause or

1	notice. My view of that is that, therefore, that
2	the only entity that can terminate the director of
3	administration is either he himself or the
4	congregation. And in my view, that would have to
5	be done at a regular or special business meeting
6	and but in any event, because it's the Church
7	that would have to do that termination, not the
8	trustees, I'm going to deny the request to issue a
9	preliminary injunction with regard to firing the
10	director of administration because, as I said, I
11	don't believe the trustees, as trustees, have
12	standing to raise that that issue.
13	So those are my rulings on
14	preliminary injunctions. Again, I'm going to ask
15	Mr. Peterson, please, to prepare an order
16	implementing that that is it would essentially
17	be two matters. One is that he shall not expend
18	or direct others to expend church funds except as
19	approved in the budget. And second of all, that

That'll be the order of the Court.

he shall not take any action to remove a trustee

except that he may recommend the removal of a

20

21

22

trustee.

1	MR. PETERSON: Thank you, Judge. I
2	think I'll work with Counsel. It's going to take
3	us a while to type that up and probably need to
4	get a copy of the transcript.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. SHOWER: Only question, I think
7	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
8	MR. SHOWER: I think that you meant
9	this, but must only spend within the budget. Of
10	course, if the congregation amends the budget
11	THE COURT: Of course.
12	MR. SHOWER: Okay. So
13	THE COURT: Yeah.
14	MR. SHOWER: the budget even as
15	amended. Okay.
16	THE COURT: The even as amended.
17	MR. SHOWER: Yeah.
18	THE COURT: If the budget
19	MR. SHOWER: Right.
20	THE COURT: is amended, but he can't
21	do it as he done in a couple of occasions.
22	MR. SHOWER: One time.

1	THE COURT: Free
2	MR. PETERSON: Judge, we're not going
3	to re-litigate the case. (Crosstalk)
4	MR. SHOWER: Yeah. No, I I I
5	just wanted no. That's clear. Thank you.
6	MR. PETERSON: Thank you, Judge.
7	(OFF THE RECORD AT 9:50 AM)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, ASHLEY MEREDITH, the officer
3	before whom the foregoing proceedings were
4	taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in
5	the foregoing proceedings were fully sworn;
6	that the proceedings were recorded by me and
7	thereafter reduced to typewriting by a
8	qualified transcriptionist; that said digital
9	audio recording of said proceedings are a
10	true and accurate record to the best of my
11	knowledge, skills, and ability; and that I am
12	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed
13	by any of the parties to this case and have
14	no interest, financial or otherwise, in its
15	outcome
16	Notary Registration No.: 7930582
17	My Commission Expires: 6/30/2025
18	
19	alley Motor
20	
21	ASHLEY MEREDITH
22	NOTARY PUBLIC FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

1	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT
2	I, Maliq Smith, do hereby certify
3	that this transcript was prepared from the digital
4	audio recording of the foregoing proceeding; that
5	said proceedings were reduced to typewriting under
6	my supervision; that said transcript is a true and
7	accurate record of the proceedings to the best of
8	my knowledge, skills, and ability; and that I am
9	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
10	any of the parties to the case and have no
11	interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
12	
13	Mada A
14	
15	Maliq Smith
16	Planet Depos, LLC
17	February 5, 2024
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

A	all	approved	15:12, 15:15,
I	5:4, 6:8, 8:7,	11:2, 11:5,	17:6, 17:10
ability	17:19	11:9, 11:12,	been
15:10, 20:11,	allegations	11:13, 17:19	6:22, 11:5,
21:8	7:18, 7:22	approving	13:17
about	also	10:5	before
7:15, 9:9	5:10, 7:19,	arrangements	1:14, 2:11,
accounts	8:9, 12:10, 16:9	7:3	20:3
12:17	although	article	behalf
	8:16	6:16, 16:7	3:3, 3:12
20:10, 21:7 action	ambit	ashley	being
1	15:15	1:22, 2:12,	5:15, 8:8
8:1, 14:4,	amended	20:2, 20:21	believe
14:7, 14:17,	9:19, 10:3,	asked	5:17, 6:6,
17:20	15:1, 18:15,	9:16	6:11, 6:14,
actions	18:16, 18:20	asking	7:10, 7:20, 9:5,
12:3, 12:6,	amends	8:3	10:20, 15:14,
13:1, 13:3,	18:10	assets	17:11
14:10	among	12:8, 12:15,	believers
actually	15:17	12:16	16:9
12:12, 13:12	annual	associates	best
address	14:11, 14:21	3:6	20:10, 21:7
15:2	another	audio	between
addressed	16:5	20:9, 21:4	7:13, 7:14, 9:1
15:13	any	authority	beyond
addressing	10:6, 11:1,	12:19, 15:11	14:6
7:5, 7:6	12:2, 12:13,	authorize	board
administration	12:17, 12:19,	10:6	1:5, 5:6, 5:16,
15:12, 15:19,	12:22, 13:3,	authorized	5:22, 6:2, 6:3,
15:22, 16:15,	13:9, 13:10,	11:19	8:14, 10:7,
17:3, 17:10	13:11, 14:4,	autonomous	10:12, 12:19,
admitted	14:9, 14:17,	16:10	13:4
16:8	14:20, 15:9,	awarded	body
adopted	16:22, 17:6,	8:11	16:9, 16:11
10:18	17:20, 20:4,		both
affected	20:13, 21:10	В	5:22
13:9	anything	bank	bridge
after	12:18	12:17	2:6, 3:7
10:8, 10:13,	anywhere	baptist	bring
13:17, 13:22	6:19	1:4, 5:5	5:18, 5:19,
afternoon	apply	baptized	6:8, 13:7
10:15	9:8	16:9	brought
again	appropriate	based	5:16, 5:22, 6:2
10:15, 15:20,	7:17, 8:1, 15:6	9:3, 9:21	budget
17:14	appropriately	basis	10:17, 11:2,
agreement	5:18	11:21	11:4, 11:9,
2:11	approval	because	11:13, 17:19,
al	10:7, 10:11	7:10, 10:20,	18:9, 18:10,
1:5, 5:6			,,
		A. Garage de Alexander de la proposition de la constant ant de la constant de la constant de la constant de la cons	

18:14, 18:18 business 13:19, 14:11, 14:21, 17:5 bylaws 6:18, 7:1, 7:4, 7:7, 10:8, 10:10, 10:15, 11:4, 12:6, 12:9, 12:18, 15:18, 15:20 call 12:10 called 13:19 came 11:3 can't 18:20 capital 16:4 case 1:6, 5:15, 5:18, 5:20, 6:2, 8:14, 8:22, 9:6, 11:7, 12:3, 12:7, 16:14, 19:3, 20:13, 21:10 cause 8:1, 16:22 certainly 12:7, 13:6, 15:6 certificate 20:1 certification 21:1 certify 20:4, 21:2 chain 2:6, 3:7 chambers 10:15

chap 3:6

Transc
Conducted
chapman
3:4 church
1:4, 5:5, 7:2,
10:6, 11:1,
11:9, 11:21,
12:15, 12:17, 16:2, 16:4,
16:9, 16:10, 16:20, 17:6,
16:20, 17:6, 17:18
church's
6:18, 14:10
circuit
1:2, 2:5 circumstances
8:22
citation
8:16
cl 5:7
cl-
1:7
claim
6:7, 7:9 clean
11:18
clear
19:5
clearly 12:14
commission
20:17
commonwealth 20:22
complaint
7:11, 7:18,
7:22
complete 6:12
completion
9:17
concerning
6:10, 14:12, 14:13
concerns
6:13, 6:15
conclude

15:17

mary 31, 2024
conclusion 7:4
congregation 10:18, 11:5, 11:14, 11:16, 14:2, 16:6, 16:13, 16:16, 17:4, 18:10 consent 16:2, 16:14 consideration 8:21
constitution 16:7 construction 7:7
contract 7:11 convened
11:17 copy 18:4 corporation
12:8 counsel 18:2, 20:12, 21:9
count 6:5, 6:6, 7:9, 7:19, 7:20, 8:3 county
1:2, 2:5, 8:14 couple 18:21 course
6:17, 18:10, 18:11 court
1:2, 2:5, 2:12, 4:3, 4:4, 5:2, 5:4, 6:6, 8:11, 8:13, 8:17, 9:3, 9:6, 9:21, 17:22, 18:5, 18:7, 18:11, 18:13, 18:16, 18:18, 18:20,

court's
9:9, 16:3
cross
8:14
crosstalk
19:3
custodianship
12:14
days
13:17
december
10:4, 14:11
decision
4:2, 5:8, 9:9
decisions
12:20, 15:16
declaratory
6:7, 6:9, 7:9,
7:17
defendant
1:9, 3:12,
5:10, 6:10,
7:15, 10:5,
10:17, 10:22,
11:7, 11:11,
11.18 12.2
11:18, 12:2, 13:3, 13:12, 14:4, 15:3
14:4. 15:3
defendants
5:10
definitively
8:17, 9:4
delineated
8:17, 9:4
democratic
16:11
demurrer
4:3, 5:9, 5:12,
5:13, 6:3, 6:4,
6:5, 7:10, 7:20,
8:2, 8:5
denied
9:15, 13:1,
14:21
deny
17:8
1
1

19:1, 20:1

_	T .		
depos	entire	expires	floor
21:16	16:6	20:17	3:16
different	entity	explained	foregoing
9:18	5:17, 5:18,	5:21	20:3, 20:5,
digital	17:2	expressly	21:4
20:8, 21:3	equitable	11:7	forth
direct	8:18, 11:15,	extent	8:1, 9:5, 9:7
11:1, 17:18	14:17	6:1, 12:20	four
directing	equity	extraordinary	9:7
11:8	8:12, 9:10,	8:20	fourth
directly	10:21, 11:6	F	14:9
13:8	esquire	factors	free
director	3:4, 3:5, 3:13,	8:18, 9:4, 9:5,	19:1
15:12, 15:18,	3:14	9:7, 9:8	fully
15:21, 16:15,	essentially	facts	20:5
17:2, 17:10	6:21, 16:5,	7:12	funds
discretion	16:12, 17:16	factual	11:2, 11:8,
8:21, 9:12	et	7:22	11:9, 11:12,
dispute	1:5, 5:6	-	11:22, 12:17,
7:13, 7:15	even	fairfax	17:18
donalson	8:15, 9:19,	1:2, 1:15, 2:5,	further
1:8, 5:6, 14:10	18:14, 18:16	2:7, 3:8	12:3, 12:22,
done	event	fall	14:7
5:20, 11:19,	12:13, 17:6	8:15	future
17:5, 18:21	every	falls	7:16
dr	11:17	10:21	
14:10	evidence	far	G
	9:21, 10:14,	13:21, 15:11,	g1
duly	11:10, 13:11,	15:18	12:10, 12:13
5:2	16:8	february	gardiner
E		21:17	1:14
ecclesiastical	except	federico	getting
6:13, 6:20,	11:2, 11:9,	3:5	6:19
6:22, 7:3, 7:5	14:5, 17:18,	few	give
effort	17:21	11:18	5:8
13:9	excuse	fiduciary	given
either	7:11, 14:8	7:14	7:13, 7:18,
16:18, 16:19,	exercised	filed	7:21, 13:17
17:3	8:21	5:9, 9:19, 10:4	gives
elect	expend	financial	12:13, 12:14,
13:4	11:1, 11:8,	12:16, 20:14,	12:18
employed	11:12, 17:17,	21:11	go
20:12, 21:9	17:18	fire	13:22
employment	expending	15:11	goes
15:20, 15:22	11:8	firing	15:8, 15:19,
enjoin	expenditure	15:4, 17:9	16:17
10:5, 12:2,	10:6	first	going
12:22, 13:2	expenditures	6:8, 8:7	5:13, 5:15,
12.24, 25.2	6:10, 10:11	0.0, 0.7	0.20, 0.20,
1	<u>l</u>		

Conducted on January 31, 2024

Conducted on January 51, 2024 25					
8:3, 9:14,	implementing	judge	make		
10:19, 14:2,	8:5, 17:16	18:1, 19:2,	12:5, 15:16		
14:19, 15:2,	importantly	19:6	making		
15:9, 17:8,	6:17	judgment	10:5		
17:14, 18:2,	include	6:7, 6:9, 7:9,	maliq		
19:2	12:16	7:17	21:2, 21:15		
good	indeed	judicial	marked		
5:4	6:20	8:20, 9:12	4:8		
granted	individual	К К	matter		
9:14	6:1, 16:2,	kevin	6:13		
granting	16:14, 16:20	5:6	matters		
8:18	individually		17:17		
gs	5:20	knowledge	meant		
12:11	injunction	20:11, 21:8	9:1, 18:8		
quide	5:11, 8:7, 8:8,	L	meeting		
8:18	8:10, 8:19,	last	, -		
н	9:14, 9:20,	8:14, 8:15,	13:20, 14:11,		
		10:4	14:13, 14:14,		
hand	10:1, 10:3,	lastly	14:21, 17:5		
16:20	10:20, 11:21,	14:22	melissa		
happen	12:21, 14:3,	least	3:14		
7:16	14:20, 15:7,	11:11	members		
happened	17:9	leesburg	13:18		
14:13	injunctions	3:17	meredith		
harrison	4:4, 17:14	limit	1:22, 2:12,		
3:16	injunctive	10:11, 11:7	20:2, 20:21		
heard	7:21	limited	months		
9:21, 14:11	interest	10:17, 14:2	11:18		
hearing	14:16, 14:17,	limits	morning		
1:13, 2:1,	20:14, 21:11		5:4, 5:8		
9:17, 10:13	interpretation	9:13, 15:10	most		
held	6:16, 6:18	litigation	6:17		
2:1	interpreting	5:19, 9:2	motion		
here	7:4, 16:4	llc	5:11, 9:19,		
5:5, 5:7, 7:18,	invalidate	21:16	10:3, 15:2		
9:8	14:9	11p	mount		
hereby	issue	3:15	1:4, 5:5		
20:4, 21:2	9:22, 10:19,	location	move		
himself	13:6, 14:2,	2:1	8:6		
17:3	14:18, 14:20,	long	must		
hire	15:7, 15:15,	15:8	18:9		
15:8	17:8, 17:12	looking	mutual		
hiring	issuing	15:19	16:1, 16:14		
15:4, 15:5,	11:20	loudoun	N		
15:4, 15:5,		8:14			
honorable	january	<u> </u>	narrower		
	1:16	made	9:22		
1:14	j ob	15:1	nature		
I	_	10.1	8:22		
ii	1:20				
7:19, 7:21, 8:3					
Name of the second seco					

Conducted on January 31, 2024 26				
nd	order	perfectly	prevent	
10:4	8:4, 17:15,	7:17	15:3	
near	17:22	personnel	probably	
6:20	organized	12:3, 12:5,	18:3	
need	11:17	12:20, 13:1,	proceeding	
18:3	originally	15:4, 15:16	21:4	
neither	10:1	petersen	proceedings	
20:12, 21:9	other	3:4, 3:6	20:3, 20:5,	
new	15:17, 16:21	peterson	20:6, 20:9,	
13:4	others	5:21, 8:4,	21:5, 21:7	
none	11:1, 11:8,	17:15, 18:1,	processes	
4:8	17:18	19:2, 19:6	15:9, 16:11	
nonetheless	otherwise	plaintiff	property	
9:22	13:4, 20:14,	14:16	12:15	
notary	21:11	plaintiff's	provides	
20:1, 20:16,	out	5:11, 8:12,	8:10	
20:22	7:11, 11:3	9:10, 10:21,	provision	
note	outcome	11:6	8:9	
13:14	20:15, 21:11	plaintiffs	public	
notice	over	1:6, 3:3,	20:1, 20:22	
13:17, 17:1	12:20	10:22, 11:15,	pursuant	
noticed	overrule	12:4, 12:6	2:11, 7:2, 8:8	
12:10	5:14, 7:20	planet	put	
0	overruled	21:16	5:7, 7:1, 15:9	
obligations	6:5	plc	Q	
7:14	overruling	3:6	qualified	
obviously	7:10, 8:2	pleasant	20:8	
113:8	P	1:4, 5:5	question	
occasions	page	please	6:13, 6:20,	
11:11, 18:21	4:2	8:4, 17:15	7:5, 9:10, 18:6	
officer	pages	point	questions	
20:2	1:21	10:22, 14:3,	6:22, 7:6	
okay	papers	14:15	quo	
18:5, 18:12,	9:19	preliminary	9:1	
18:15	paragraph	4:4, 5:11, 8:6,	quote	
one	15:21, 16:18	8:7, 9:13, 9:20,	16:10	
10:4, 11:11,	part	10:3, 10:20,	R	
16:20, 17:17,	5:13, 5:14,	11:20, 12:21,	raise	
18:22	9:14, 9:15	14:3, 14:20,		
ongoing	particular	15:7, 17:9,	15:14, 17:12 re-litigate	
7:12, 9:2	8:22	17:14		
only	parties	prepare	19:3	
5:19, 7:6,	9:2, 20:13,	8:4, 17:15	read 9:8	
17:2, 18:6, 18:9	21:10	prepared	really	
operating	party	21:3	16:5	
16:11	16:18, 16:19	present		
orally	pastor	13:19	reason 12:11, 15:16,	
15:1	13:16, 13:20	preserve	17:11, 12:10,	
	·	9:1		
L		<u> </u>	l	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
16:7	removal	S	shower
recently	13:16, 13:21,	said	18:6, 18:8,
8:13	14:6, 17:21	6:11, 6:21,	18:12, 18:14,
recommend	remove	7:8, 8:2, 8:13,	18:17, 18:19,
13:21, 14:5,	13:3, 13:9,	17:10, 20:8,	18:22, 19:4
17:21	13:13, 14:5,	20:9, 21:5, 21:6	showers
recommendation	17:20	satisfied	3:13, 3:15,
13:16	removing	8:12	6:21
recommending	13:5	say	showing
14:7	repeat	11:17, 15:18,	7:12
record	6:12	16:6, 16:17	signature-mig2k
6:12, 19:7,	reporter	saying	21:13
20:10, 21:7	2:12, 5:2, 20:1	16:6	signature-p1kal
recorded	reporters	says	20:19
1:22, 20:6	8:16	13:15, 15:20	simms
recording	request	school	3:15
20:9, 21:4	7:21, 8:7,	8:14	simply
reduced	9:13, 12:5,		7:3
6:22, 20:7,	12:21, 13:1,	se 3:16	since
21:5	13:2, 14:8,		13:8
refers	14:9, 14:21,	second	sir
16:8, 16:10	15:1, 17:8	12:1, 17:19	18:7
regard	requested	section	skills
5:9, 5:14, 6:4,	9:18	12:9, 13:14	20:11, 21:8
7:8, 7:19, 8:5,	require	secular	smith
11:21, 12:5,	10:10, 16:1	7:6	21:2, 21:15
12:8, 13:5,	resolved	see	·
14:18, 15:4,	14:19	6:19, 8:8,	some
17:9	rest	12:18, 16:1	9:13
		seeking	something
regarding	8:20, 9:10,	6:9	10:9
14:20	9:11	service	somewhat
registration	review	15:22, 16:1,	9:17
20:16	10:8, 10:14	16:21	sought
regular	richard	set	8:8, 10:1,
13:19, 17:5	1:14	7:22, 9:5,	10:2, 12:1
related	right	10:17	sound
20:12, 21:9	5:4, 12:9,	sets	8:20, 9:11
relationship	13:20, 16:18,	7:11	speaking
16:22	16:21, 18:19	setting	16:13
relevant	road	9:7	special
6:16	2:6, 3:7	shall	17:5
relief	robert	8:11, 10:22,	specifically
7:21, 8:18	3:13	13:15, 14:4,	15:13
remainder	ruby	17:17, 17:20	spend
14:1	3:14	should	18:9
remedy	ruling	11:7, 11:17	standing
8:20	8:5	shouldn't	6:8, 12:4,
remember	rulings	11:16	12:7, 13:7,
13:11	17:13	1	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

start 5:12 states 8:10, 8:19 9:6 terminate status 16:21, 17:2 9:1 termination statutes 17:7 6:16 testimony statutory 14:12 8:9 th street 3:16 14:11 structure thank 18:1, 19:5, 7:2 19:6 subject thereafter 13:15 subsequently 20:7 therefore 11:13 sufficient 8:2, 10:19, 13:20, 17:1 7:12 thing suggesting 12:1 13:11 things suit 10:2, 15:17 6:9 think supervision 7:16, 9:20, 21:6 supported 10:7, 10:10, 10:16, 11:3, 10:9, 11:4 11:5, 11:14, supreme 12:3, 14:15, 8:13, 9:6, 9:9 15:5, 18:2, sure 18:6, 18:8 13:10 third suspect 3:16, 13:2, 12:10 14:8 sustain through 5:13, 5:15 15:8, 16:11 sustained time 6:3 16:22, 18:22 sworn touching 5:3, 20:5 6:19 transcript take 18:4, 21:1, 14:4, 14:7, 21:3, 21:6 17:20, 18:2 transcriptionist taken 20:8 14:10, 20:4

trial

14:19

20:10, 21:6 trust 12:19 trustee 13:13, 13:15, 14:5, 14:6, 17:20, 17:22 trustees 5:6, 5:16, 5:19, 6:1, 6:2, 6:8, 7:13, 10:7, 10:12, 12:4, 12:7, 12:14, 12:19, 13:4, 13:5, 13:6, 13:9, 13:17, 13:21, 14:1, 15:14, 17:8, 17:11 two 11:11, 12:11, 14:12, 17:17 two-thirds 13:18 type 18:3 typewriting 20:7, 21:5 under 12:6, 15:21, 21:5 united

9:6 unless 8:11 V va 3:8, 3:17 valid 14:14 view 9:12, 10:13, 12:15, 13:7, 16:3, 16:5, 16:12, 16:19, 17:1, 17:4 virginia 1:15, 2:7, 8:13, 8:16, 20:22

vote 13:18 voting 13:19 vs 1:7

wanted

19:5

W

way
15:9, 16:5
we're
5:5, 19:2
wednesday
1:16
whatever
15:8

whereupon

whether

5:2

14:14 winter 8:15, 9:6 within 15:15, 18:9 without 10:6, 10:11, 16:22 witness(es 20:4

14:12 word 16:4 work 18:2 writing 13:18

witnesses

Yeah
18:13, 18:17,

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

	I		
19:4	3		
year	3.2		
10:4	12:9, 13:15		
yesterday	30		
5:21, 6:11,			
6:21, 9:16,	13:17, 20:17		
9:22, 10:8,	305		
10:14, 10:15,	3:16		
11:3, 11:10,	31		
13:11, 14:13,	1:16		
	3970		
15:13	3:7		
z	4		
zablah	4110		
3:5			
	2:6	, in the second of the second	
·	5		
.2512	524233		
3:9	1:20		
.4671	57		
3:18	6:17		
0	571.459		
0016807			
	3:9		
1:7	6_		
1	628		
16807	8:9		
5:7	7		
17			
4:4	703.771		
2	3:18		
	7930582		
20175	20:16		
3:17	8		
2023	8.01		
1:7, 5:7			
2024	8:9		
1:16, 21:17	9		
2025	9:30 am		
20:17	1:17		
20:17 21	9:50 am		
	19:7		
1:21	+ 1		
22			
10:4			
22030			
2:7, 3:8			
27			
14:11			
			